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ABSTRACT: This paper applies critical discourse analysis to analyze the speech of Malala Yousaf Zai. The researcher has made an effort to apply critical discourse analysis theory, and three modes of persuasion in Rhetoric: Ethos pathos and logos. Malala Yousaf Zai’s speech before the United Nations Youth Assembly on “Malala Day’ reaffirms her commitment to girls’ education. She is the true face of Pakistan and Islam. This speech provides a subtle message of hope, courage and power in the face of terrorism. Malala a sixteen years old girl becomes the voice of silenced people who have never been heard before.

Introduction:

In 1980s social power exploitation, power, authority and inequality were critically studied via the text and told stories or talk in the social and political perspective. This type of research was named Critical Discourse Analysis. Conversation is not mere a syntactic formation. It has more than words, a sea of various meanings that it contains but we always have to make effort to dive into this sea and bring the meanings up to surface----our knowledge and experiences. Challenging the orthodoxies is like an adventure that demands an insightful interpretive skill. So does the critical discourse analysis. Van Dijk(1985) says, critical discourse analysis owns the responsibility to expose and ultimately erects barriers against social inequalities. Until we understand what the people talk, what the people say and what experiences they wish to talk about, it seems impossible to realize the power of discourse. Discourse is a powerful phenomenon that requires to be interpreted in a social context where it has been occurred.

The roots of Critical Discourse Analysis can be traced back into the Systematic Functional Grammar that was developed by an Australian Linguist M.A.K.Hiliday.

Critical Discourse Analysis builds whole of its analytical argument on a public speech for example, advertisements, newspapers, political propagandas, official documents, laws, by laws and regulations and so on. It traces the nature of connection among language, ideology and power.

A lot of researchers and practitioners have applied it for developing their theses across Pakistan. In Pakistan it is comparatively, a new field of study. The list of researchers who have worked in this field in Pakistan does not go beyond a few studies. A few studies own their place
in some linguistic journals for example; Alvi & Baseer, 2012; Alvi & Baseer, 2011 an (Naz, Alvi, & Baseer, 2012). Since Pakistan a country that possesses very strong geo-political and socio-economic variations owing to a continuous war and terror conditions, she has been facing more and more academic and Pakistani foreign relations in the 21st century the Critical Discourse Analysis has emerged as a new field of study. This new area of study has also appeared as a way to translate pure Pakistani experiences and reproduce untold-experiences to our foreign friends.

After the tragedy of 9/11, Pakistan offered unconditional help to America for abolishing terrorism in the world. Pakistan became a peace-partner of America and for this coalition Pakistan has been paying a very heavy price but despite all suicide attacks, loss of life and property the people of Pakistan have unflinching faith on democracy, international brotherhood, peace and Education For All.

This study aims to unveil unspoken meanings in Malala’s speech and to reveal what really Malala wanted nations and particularly Terrorists to know.

**Discourse Analysis: Theoretical Underpinnings**

There are four major assumptions behind discourse analysis:

1. There are some rules and internal structures that constitute a human discourse.
2. The discourse of a speaker, who is member of a community, is shaped by cultural, political, economic, social and personal realities.
3. Discourse reflects human experience and at the same time, constitutes important features of that experience. (Gee, Michaels and G. Connor, 1992).

Gee et.al. (1992) describes there are two different research stances of discourse studies for educational research: one focuses on the objectivity of the discourse, second stresses upon he social, cognitive, political and cultural aspects of discourse. The former deals with the form, meaning, structural functions of a sentence. The later stance focuses on social, cognitive, cultural, political and psychological processes. Life with its complex and abstracts aspects is sometimes beyond quantifiable measures and a talk provides a deeper insight into these aspects.

Malala Yousaf Zai is a 16 years old-school-going girl. Last year on October 09 she was shot by Taliban in Swat. The bullet entered just above her left eye and ran along her jaw, grain her brain. When she was shot last year in October 9, 2012, surgeons in Pakistan removed the bullet before she was flown to the UK. She went under a successful surgery on her skull and ear at the Queen Elizabeth Hospital in Birmingham. The doctors applied latest techniques in her treatment and put titanium plate on her damaged skull and fitted a cochlear plant. This surgery met success and led towards a recovery. Malala has been offered a permanent residence in the UK. The father of Malala has also been offered a job with the Pakistan Consulate in UK.
This is a sample speech she gave at the UN, on July 12, 2013. The heroic Malala spoke with chivalric expression about the Taliban in her Swat homeland in Pakistan. She spoke with surprising maturity to the leaders of more than 100 countries. This speech challenges the authority that has become a headache for the world i.e., terrorism. She has emerged as the advocate of the rights of children. This speech deserves to be listened, read, reread and appreciated because it has a surprising maturity of oratory and substance. The speech is a reaffirmation of her commitment to girls’ education in Pakistan particularly and in the whole world generally.

While talking to Pakistan’s UN Ambassador, Masood Khan, in New York on July 14, 2013, Malala said, she does not want to be known as the girl who tried to kill but as the girl who fought for her rights, the determination to keep her struggle for a right to live in peace, for a right to go to school.

For this analysis I have applied the Ethos, Pathos, Logos model speculated by Aristotle (350 BCE). I have separately discussed each part of the Aristotelian model for this speech to reveal some important patterns.

**Ethos Applied**

*Persuasion is achieved by the speaker’s personal character when the speech is so spoken as to make us think him credible.*

(Aristotle, Rhetoric 350 BCE)

This is a Greek word which means accustomed place, custom, habit. This ethos is originally an appeal to the authority or honesty of the speaker. In other words it can be called a speaker’s moral possession in the eyes of the listeners, audience. With the help of ethos the speaker convinces the audience that he or she possesses such a sound knowledge and moral credibility to address on the particular topic speakers can do it in many ways; by being an important or well known person, by being a beneficiary of an interest in a matter by using impressive arguments to the audience that the speaker is a knowledgeable person on the topic and by appealing a person’s ethics or character. Ethics also reflects the trust of the audience on the speaker that how much trust the audience gives to a speaker, that how much trustworthy the speaker is for his or her audience. In brief, character of the speaker is reflected through ethos.

In modern society, it is not an easy task to convince the masses. People in Pakistan often express disbelief that Malala is an object of controversy. Conspiracy theories in Pakistan prevail regarding the heroic speech of Malala. She is also perceived as a CIA agent and that the whole case of firing at her was a planted-conspiracy. So under such circumstances could Malala gain and maintain a big deal of support from a nation that is still in confusion about her heroic role. The whole credit goes to her skills of rhetoric that she was able to maintain an image of such
Malala, a real educational and social activist establishes her credibility while recording to the first woman premier of Pakistan, Benazir Bhutto who was assassinated by terrorist,...........It is an honor for me that I am wearing a shawl of late Benazir Bhutto”.

Sometimes speaker uses a maxim “Take on the mantle” of a hero, but Malala spends much of her time in the beginning to flatter the action of those who are in action. She has put on the shawl of Benazir literally wearing the mantle. This indicates that she is very close to the emotions, feelings, love and idol worshipping attitudes of the masses of Pakistan. This is the mantle of a first female Pakistani premier who is loved, respected and even worshipped by a community in Pakistan Malala presents a tribute not only to a particular community but to all of the courageous ladies of the world. The mantle of Benazir Bhutto is the mantle of a woman who made a very chanting and inspiring address to the UN. She was a very well known figure for most of the audience; her mantle could win Malala credibility as a speaker. Malala creates the impression that this is how she sees the situation and it comes across as practically charming.

Malala’s use of ethos is constant, but most intense at the beginning of this speech. In this speech she does not loses any opportunity to elevate others opinion of herself. Infact she does not speak about herself without directly or more indirectly attaching some positive attribute.

She begins by indicating that she has been endeavoring to come to an understanding with Taliban. She indicates that her desire is only to promote education, to promote peace and to elevate fear of power. That is why she “takes” the mantle of Benazir Bhutto, a mantle of power that is a contrast against the phrase,
Almost all of paragraphs of this speech start with this phrase. They realize the audience that Malala speaks for them, represents them; Malala is the spokes woman of them. An example of her skillful speaking is quoted in following,

*I speak not for myself, but for all girls and boys…..*

In the above sample she both lifts up herself by suggesting she has great concern about the life and happiness of every young girl and boy, while simultaneously indicating blame for the damage done to the cause of education in a very subtle pathos.

In her efforts to establish her credibility to the audience, to the people of Pakistan and Sawat…….. Malala shares her own life experience of being shot by Taliban.

*Dear friends, on the 9th of October 2012, the Taliban shot me on the left side of my forehead. They shot my friends too…*

She tells that the Taliban thought that the bullets would silence us. But they failed she further stresses upon the failure of Taliban.

“And then, out of that silence came, thousands of voices.”

Malala continues her story,

*The terrorist thought that they would change our aims and stop our ambitions but nothing changed in my life except this weakness fear and hopelessness died. Strength, power and courage were born. I am the same Malala. My ambitious are the same. My hopes are the same. My dreams are the same.*

This recount of the personal story of Malala indicates the example of Anamnesis. According to an online source (http://rhetoric.byu.edu/, cited in Alvi, et. al., 2012) anamnesis is a figure of ethos that is used to recall to past memories. The use of anamnesis helps Malala establishing her credibility and dependability to the audience. Malala does not leave her past and the incident of her being shot unexplained to the audience. She uses this skill to increase her credibility and attain the attention of the audience as well. With the help of the figure of ethos, anamnesis, she challenges the authority. She forcefully speaks about the false perceptions of Taliban that with this attack they would frighten the youth. Malala with the help of this recount of her past experience declares that she is not afraid of death and fear. Thus these expressions increase ethos.
Appeal to Authority

Malala speaks about the negation of compassion and non–violence by Taliban. She negates the role of Taliban. She stresses upon the need of change. She refuses the philosophy of revenge. According to her views compassion is the best revenge. To authenticate her views she uses a trio of trilogies. This is device that is used to appeal authority. She applies this classical technique very skillfully.

“This is the compassion that I have learned from Mohammad, the Prophet of mercy, Jesus Christ and Lord Buddha. This is the legacy of change I have inherited from Martin Luther King, Nelson Mandela, and Mohammad Ali Jinnah. This is the philosophy of non-violence that I have learned from Gandhi, Bacha Khan and Mother Teresa”.

Malala gives the reference of these personalities to establish her credibility. She summons these personalities to get the support from the audience of different communities. She calls for these personalities to provide her spiritual, political and social back up. Malala further bears out her speech by referring two other personalities.

“And this is the forgiveness that I have learned from my mother and father.”

She gradually, shifts the level of authority to common personalities that indicate that she evokes humility. This provides instance that she speaks for children, she fights for children and she is the reformer in real sense Malala refers all the aforesaid personalities to indicate that the audience is already familiar with the views and teachings of these personalities. The teachings and ideologies of these personalities were not unknown to the audience. Malala strengthens her image as a hero of children by mentioning the names of these personalities.

Paragraph eight presents epicrisis i.e., the use of certain references to strengthen some comment. In other words when some speaker gives reference of some statement by others this is called epicrisis. She gets support from the references of these personalities. This skill increases her trustworthiness and credibility.

Malala also refers to Mr. Ban Ki Moon the secretary general of the UN, Mr. Gordon Brown the premier of the UK to get a support in their presence in the audience. In the same paragraph that she substantiates the vision of the aforesaid personalities. When she declares to support the ideology of these icons, in fact she gets a place for her among the leader of the UN; she stands by the Universal message of peace lovers.
"I fully support Mr. Ban ki Moon the secretary general in his global education first initiative and the work of the UN special envoy Mr. Gordon Brow."

Malala uses climb and collapse as a rhetorical technique to support her speech. She started referring the top icons to political personalities and from this to her mother and father. This is a journey of her skillful rhetorical speech to humility. She applies the technique of climax, and she creates and order from minor to bigger, from smaller to larger sequence of personalities in this sequence from smaller to larger, Malala uses the technique of litotes. Litotes is used by the speaker to gain the sympathy of the audience. When some speaker denies the thoughts of others by giving modest and humble statements, this is called litotes.

Paragraph four deliberately conveys the humbleness of Malala. She challenges the authority with humble tone.

.... “Malala day is not my day. Today is the day of every woman, every boy and every girl who have raised their voice for their rights. There are hundreds of Human rights activists and social workers who are not only speaking for human rights, but who are struggling to achieve their goals of education, peace and equality. Thousands of people have been killed by the terrorists and millions have been injured. I am just one of them. So, where I stand... one girl among many”.

Malala uses the techniques of climax, anticlimax and collapse sequence, very skillfully to appeal to the authority, the audience. She forces the audience to maximize their perception but consequently she collapses it to her,

“I am just one of them. So here I stand, one girl amongst many.”

She does not separate herself from others; she is one of the many who have fought for a noble cause. She is the mouthpiece of all of the masses who love peace equality, education and justice.

Malala refers to well known persons to influence her audience. She refers to famous men in paragraphs no’s three and eight. Where Malala refers these personalities, in fact, she is in an effort to persuade the audience so that they might accept her views. In paragraph eight Malala refers the names of nine famous leaders of the various communities of the world; Mohammad (SAW), Jesus Christ, Lord Buddhaa, Martin Luther King, Nelson Mandela, Muhammad Ali Jinnah, Gandhi Jee, Bacha Khan and Mother Teresa. The speaker refers all these names and reinforces the audience of this speech by mentioning that all these spiritual, political and historical personalities were motivated by faith.
Malala uses the names of the leaders of all religions of the world, Muslims, Christian, Buddhist, Hindu, Communist etc to appeal the authority. She uses these references to motivate the audience to accept her views, ideas and notion of love and education. Malala rejects the authority of Talibans by mentioning,

“The extremists are afraid of books and pens. The power of education frightens them. They are afraid of women. The power of the voice of women frightens them…”

Here Malala explicitly rejects the authority of extremists through antirrhesis. Antirrhesis mean to reject some prevailing authority.

When Malala mentions the nine great personalities of the world she consciously realizes the audience that she is the representative of these personalities, she is the torch bearer of the creed of truth. She is the representative of those who are the protector of the social and moral values, rights of the innocents, and the voice of those who are never heard. Thus she succeeds to present her voice creditable to all the nations of the world.

Use of Pronouns

According to Alvi & Baseer (2011) the method of counting words to trace and reveal hidden meaning is very popular. Analysis of pronouns provides access to find out factors that are important. Beard (2000, cited in Alvi & Baseer, 2011) The use and analysis of the first person singular pronoun “I” and fist person plural pronoun “We” provide deep understanding about the speaker and his / her perception to be perceived by the audience. Malala has frequently used first person singular pronoun “I” that shows that she wants her audience to realize her contributions for the world and at the same moment she does not let the audience to be unmindful of her that indicates her narcissism. The use of first person singular pronoun “I” shows that the speaker likes self-important and egocentric attitude Malala uses singular pronoun ‘I” to get the credit of her struggle, strive, fight and sacrifice. The speakers use this singular pronoun to get the credit of their struggle.

Malala has also used plural pronoun ‘we”. According to Alvi & Baseer(2011) use of plural pronoun distributes the responsibility; it puts the responsibility also on the shoulders of the audience when the circumstances are not favorable. This use of plural pronoun also narrows down the gulf between the speaker and the audience or the writer and the reader. Consequently, its use promotes mutual support and reduces a sense of indifference among the audience when the speaker uses plural pronoun “we”. Infact he / she efforts to realize the audience that, the speaker is not separate from them or the problem demands integration and congregation. The audience develop a sense of pride and acquaintance on being involved in the matter. The audience begins to join their voice with the voice of the audience. They feel
that the speaker and the audience belong to the same tribe/community that consequently strengthen and evokes ethos.

The following table presents the results of the pronoun analysis:

Table: The use of the Pronouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pronoun used</th>
<th>Occurrences total</th>
<th>% of used pronouns(116)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st person singular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myself</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st person plural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Us</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ourselves</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentages significantly show that Malala has frequently used the first person singular pronoun “I” (31.32%). This indicates that the speaker deliberately focuses on her personality. She needs the credit of her struggle and fight against power. Thus ethos strengthens the credibility of Malala. This credibility enthuses the audience to accept the views of Malala true and creditable. Malala provides the proof of her credibility and struggle from the very beginning of her speech. She refers to the great personalities of the universe. In the very beginning of her speech she expresses her desire to present a gratitude to the audience. She
makes the audience realize her struggle, this technique skillfully works and she is given acceptance by the audience.

Pathos Applied

Pathos is the appeal to the emotions of the listener. This is one of the three modes of persuasion in rhetoric. This is the part of Aristotle’s teachings in rhetoric. The speaker appeals to the emotions of the audience through a variety of ways; by using a metaphor or story telling or by applying emotional contents in speech during writing. Pathos is applied by the speaker when he/she want to appeal the ethical judgment of the audience. Malala uses her skills of rhetoric for the creation of the audience pathos. Although this sample speech is an informative speech, it is really a possessive speech. Malala applies all sorts of traditional figures of speech in her speech. The persuasion in this speech, she is doing, is that she is the savior of women, children and peace loving people of the whole world, Taliban are the evil and enemy of the world. To appeal to the pathos of the audience Malala applies the technique of synonymies; a technique in which speaker uses several synonyms together to emphasize and explain the topic of speech. This can also be called as a kind of repetition that strengthens the credibility of the speaker. Malala efforts her best to arouse the emotional force of the audience in her favour. Malala uses a galaxy of carefully chosen words that help her arousing the emotional force of the audience. She applies various fanciful phrases and clauses that create a beautiful attractive mental picture. This is a human nature that if someone wishes to save and protect the coming generations of theirs. They develop a sense of association with him or her. The same case is with the speech of Malala, she shows the audience a dream. A dream of prosperous non indolent and gender free society, a society where there is no terrorism and violence, a society where the girls are neither killed nor prohibited to get education. Malala creates an imagery of an educated, non violent and tolerated society without the threats of Taliban. Malala introduces an imagery of utopia where there is peace and love, where there is no fear of Taliban, where there girls are free to go to school, where there women are treated equally with men, where there children are protected nor shot by Taliban.

Malala does not disconnect the dream, she continues creating mental pictures, she forcefully repeats the similar message, similar dream, similar word and the similar proposal. She becomes the wishes of marginalized people, the voice of the unheard people, the dream of the hopeless people, the remedy for Taliban victimized people and hope for the disappointed people. She mentions their snatched right of education, occupied dreams, snubbed and suppressed voices, threatened future of their next coming generations and fear of Talibanization i.e., extremism. She uses the imagery of innocent students who are killed by Taliban. This imagery reflects the atrocities that are faced by the innocent lovers of peace and education. She applies the imagery of an innocent girl who is shot by Taliban. This creates pathos and appeals to the emotions of the audience. She uses the imagery of children who are forcefully pushed into child
labor; this evokes the audiences’ emotions. She uses all these technical tools to arouse the emotions of the audience.

Malala repeats the same words in different ways.
Their right to live in peace
Their right to be treated with dignity
Their right to equality of opportunity
Their right to be educated

She repeats their proposition through different styles. Thus she uses synonymies to strengthen her message and to exhort the element of pathos. Paragraph ten describes the story of an innocent boy in the school of Malala. Who was asked by a journalist, why are the Taliban against education. Malala narrates the story of this boy to enhance her reliability and to arouse the emotions of the audience. Malala further describes about fourteen innocent medical students in recent attack in Quetta, many female teachers and polio workers in Khyber Pukhtoon Khaw and Fata and many others who have been killed by Taliban. All of these illustrations appeal the audience’s love for compassion and need of their dear ones. The mention of all these losses reminds the audience of their relatives who have been snatched by terrorists from them. They also realize that these extremists are the cause of the destruction and loss of their family fabric. This emotional force supports Malala’s agenda against Talibanization, inequality, illiteracy and violence.

Paragraphs nine and ten illustrate the use of describe by Malala. Description is used by a speaker to deliberately describe the consequence of an event or act that ultimately arouses the emotions of the audience or the readers. Malala describes about the acts of Taliban who are non stage actors and are continuously destroying the community and exploiting the innocent people on the name of religion, Allah and Pashtun society,

“……..The terrorists are misusing the name of Islam and Pshtun society for their own personal benefits”.

Here, Malala very vividly unveils the real faces of Taliban and their intentions. She warns us to understand the situation and the acts of Taliban. This explicit and clear cut description creates an imagery that is frightening and disappointing. Paragraph eleven vividly presents an imagery of women and children in India, Nigeria and Afghanistan where they are victim of poverty, ignorance, injustice, racism and the deprivation of basic rights. The concern of the speaker for every child and every woman of the world makes the speaker creditable and trustworthy that consequently wins the speaker the emotional support of the audience. Paragraph ten provides hope to the audience. Malala speaks in the uplifting rhetoric of hope and dreams,
Pakistan is peace-loving democratic country. Pashtunns want education for their daughters and sons. And Islam is a religion of peace, humanity and brotherhood. Islam says that it is not only each child’s right to get education, rather it is their duty and responsibility.”

The hope Malala gives to the audience is the hope in the ideology of Islam, the ideology of Pakistan and the Pakistani people as a nation, as a Millat in the ideology of Islam that is the religion of peace and brotherhood and in the ideology of Pakistan that stresses upon faith, unity and discipline which are far beyond terrorism and extremism. This uplifting rhetoric of hope wins audience’s pathos for Malala and Pakistan.

1. Paragraph twenty one indicates that Malala has forcefully applied another figure of rhetoric, Aganactesis. Aganactesis means; an exclamation proceeding from deep indignation (http://rhetoric.byu.edu.cited in Alvi & Baseer, 2011). Malala, in this paragraph uses “if” and “then” which can be described linguistically as indicative of warning. Malala in a sorrowful tone invites the communities of the world to understand and to realize the negative consequences of extremism, lack of education, violence and poverty.

“…………and if we want to achieve our goal, then let us empower ourselves with the weapon of knowledge and let us shield ourselves with unity and togetherness”.

Paragraph fifteen and fourteen indicate toward the use of another figure of pathos, “A deal that goes against dignity of women and their rights in unacceptable”.

“Dear sisters and brothers, now it is time to speak up”.

Malala vividly declares that if the leaders of the world and communities make any such deals with Taliban and extremists that are against the dignity of women, these deals will not be acceptable. If the world leaders come up to dialogue with Taliban on the negation of the dignity of women i.e., education for women, liberty and freedom to express, it will lead to destruction and violation of the basic rights of women. Thus Malala very wisely and indirectly warns and discourages the leaders of the world to dialogue with Taliban on the cost of women dignity is an attractive and adequate example of the use of apagroesis. In the following paragraph Malala’s tone is suggestive.

“So let us wage a global struggle against illiteracy, poverty and terrorism and let us pick up our books and pens. They are our must power full weapons”.

Malala applies antonomasias a figure of pathos in almost all of her speech. Antonomasia can be defined as: substituting a descriptive phrase for a proper name, or substituting a proper name for a quality associated with it (http://rhetoric.byu.edu/cited in Alvi & Baseer, 2011) Prophet of mercy, world leaders extremists etc are the illustration of antonomasia. Here, Malala uses world leaders for peace lovers and the social activists and extremists for Taliban and terrorists. Malala
has applied this figure of pathos to engulf the gap between the speaker and the audience. This figure of pathos creates a group intimacy.

From paragraph thirteen to the last paragraph Malala directly suggests and invites the people to struggle for peace, tolerance, rights of women and children, education for all, anti campaigns against terrorism. This direct advice of avoiding extremist’s activities, violating basic women rights, implementing misinterpreted laws of religion and restricting from sticking unreasonably and blindly to a specific religion, cast, creed and ideology is a forceful figure of pathos for persuading the audience.

**Logos Applies**

Logos is used in philosophy, analytical psychology rhetoric and religion. Logos is called the logic and argument of the speech. The use of this term can be treed back into western philosophy. Heraclitus (ca 535-475 BCE) uses this term for western philosophy. This term was used for the source and fundamental order of the cosmos. Later Aristotle applied this term to rational discourse.

Logos is a logical appeal and it is general used for describing facts and figures that are helpful for the speaker to support his/her topic ([http://www.mountainman.au/essence/aristotles modes of persuasion in rhetoric.htm](http://www.mountainman.au/essence/aristotles modes of persuasion in rhetoric.htm)). Paragraph eight contains logos. Her speech connects religion, education, social and human rights and extremists. She addresses all these communities very logically. She presents her views in favour of education that can enrich both the religious and social aspects of human personality. She is not unmindful of the importance of education of women. Throughout the speech she stresses upon the importance of tolerance, respect for others and dignity of women. She says Taliban should understand others without bias and they must realize their misconception about Islam and the world beyond. She invites Taliban to understand the real Islam, the real light and the real education. She also focuses on engulfing the class between Taliban and the rest of the world. She expresses her own moderate and civilized remarks for educating the children of Taliban that reveals that she is in favour to bridge the gap between Taliban and the world. She wins the favour of the audience quite logically by pointing out the evils of modern world and her role to solve these problems. Malala supports her arguments through logical reasoning. She uses logical styles oratory. She uses a variety of figures of logos in her speech to enjoin the audience to eliminate illiteracy, poverty and terrorism, to pave the way for education, for tolerance, for development and for harmony. She addresses not only the Taliban but to the civilized communities of the world as well. Malala applies Antithesis a figure of logos. In paragraph eight,

> "Dear sisters and brothers, we realize the importance of light when we see darkness. We realize the importance of voice when we are silenced. In the same way, we were in Swat, the north of Pakistan, we realized the importance of pens and books when we saw the guns".
Light and darkness, voice and silence and pens, books and guns, these are the examples of antithesis that has been applied by Malala to present her case logically. She uses contrast to create logic. She further uses this foundation to create an analogy:

*We realized the importance of pens and books when we saw guns*. Malala uses *sortes* a figure of logos to support her previously established arguments.

Sorites is used when a speaker wants to establish a chain of claims and reasons*[^1]*.

“They are afraid of women. The power of the voice of women frightens them. This is why they killed in innocent students in the recent attack in Quetta. And that is why they kill female teachers. That is why they are blasting schools every day, because they are afraid of change and the equality that we will bring to our society”.

In the aforesaid passage she supports her earlier argument that extremists are afraid of education. She constructs her argument on the basis of previously mentioned logic.

We find an attractive example of epicrisis, in the speech of Malala. Epicrisis is used by a speaker when he/she quotes a certain passage and makes the comment upon it.

*“The wise saying, the pen is mightier than the sword”. It is true. The extremists are afraid of pens and books. The power of education frightens them”*.

Malala has applied epicrisis. She quotes a famous maxim; the pen is mightier than the sword”. She uses this quote to support her arguments she skillfully uses this quote in her favour and supports her argument that power of education frightens them.

Malala continues supporting her thesis with the help of an anecdote,

*“And I remember there was a boy in our school who was asked by a journalist: why are the Taliban against education?” he answered very simply by pointing to his book, he said: A Talib doesn’t know what is written inside this book”*.

Malala has applied Adinoeta, a figure of logos. When a speaker wants to give a subtle meaning that is hidden just beneath the surface of the statement. She conveys a subtle massage that if the leaders of the world fail to eliminate illiteracy and poverty, they will fail to pave the way for education, for tolerance, for development, for harmony and illiterate, unequally treated, unheard and the poor are more likely to become Taliban. She inculcates the subtle message that the sword of education can be used to defeat Taliban. In her heroic speech she has imparted the message.

*“……weakness, fear and hopelessness died strength, power and courage was born”*.
She highlights epic struggle, strength, power and courage that can defeat weakness, fear and hopelessness.

Conclusion

This speech shows Malala’s epic struggle nobility, dignity and compassion. She is the true face of Pakistan, Islam and Pushtuns. She is fully aware of the techniques that can persuade the audience to listen her she delivers a speech that proves a momentous push for change. This speech is a masterpiece of passion, emotion, skill, incredible belief and daring hope. Malala’s speech excels in oratory and substance. But we must remember we need to go a long way to liberate our next coming generations from extremism, poverty, illiteracy and above all claws of Taliban.
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Using pathos, ethos and logos. To persuade an audience, we typically use a combination of the three rhetorical appeals. In academia, logos and ethos—reasoning and authority—tend to be given more weight than pathos: an academic paper based primarily on pathos, for example, probably wouldn’t be taken seriously. By the same token, if you only present the cold, hard facts, you could quickly lose students’ attention. And if your arguments are overly emotional rather than factual, you could lose your credibility.