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The history of northern philanthropy and southern black education is a familiar, yet unfinished, story. Historians have documented how private foundations, particularly the General Education Board (GEB), helped develop black education in the South, but those studies invariably conclude around 1930.1 It is true that GEB appropriations to southern black education peaked in the early 1930s.2 Nevertheless, the GEB continued to fund select programs in that field until it ceased operations in 1960. I surveyed part of the GEB papers this summer in order to determine the organization's priorities for black education during this later period. I quickly discovered that denied grant requests could reveal as much as the records of fund recipients. Throughout the thirties and forties, as African Americans organized in the South to gain greater control over their own schools, the GEB continued to fund white supervisors as its primary contribution to black elementary and secondary education. To be sure, many of these State Agents for Negro Schools held reputations as the leading white liberals in their communities. Yet the fact that the GEB repeatedly turned down grant requests from autonomous black organizations suggests its commitment to older models of white-sponsored black uplift, rather than the goals of black self-determination. Since this was also the goal of many progressive white southerners, the men of the GEB became increasingly confident to hand the business of black schooling over to the white South. This story then suggests that race, rather than region, was ultimately the more important fault line in the story of northern philanthropy and southern black education.
Contrary to the characterization put forth by some historians of early northern philanthropists as heavy-handed reformers of the South, the GEB men of the early 1920s seemed to be planning for their own obsolescence. In 1920 GEB president Wallace Buttrick judged that the "fate of Negro education lies with tax-supported schools and not with institutions supported by private contributions, excepting a limited number which train teachers and leaders." Public institutions, Buttrick explained, were becoming the GEB's "main concern." Other GEB officials also expressed their desire to keep a low profile in southern black education. James Hardy Dillard, president of the GEB-affiliated Jeanes Fund, told GEB president Wickliffe Rose that his aim was to "get the education of the Negro children thought of as part of the regular job of States and counties." Dillard further believed that "the more any of the Funds can sink themselves from view the better." Frank Bachman, officer of the GEB's School Surveys and Public Education Division, stated a similar objective in 1928: "to throw upon the state, county, or community the whole responsibility for carrying on the work of public education."

If, as some historians have argued, early GEB administrators viewed themselves as self-appointed liberators of a benighted South, then why had they become so eager to facilitate local control of southern black schools? I would argue that while GEB officials may initially have felt a degree of moral superiority over the white southern masses, by the twenties and thirties they had found considerable kinship with white southerners who approached race relations with a paternalistic liberalism. In fact, in the early phases of the southern program, the GEB hand-picked like-minded southern white men as the fund's disciples. These men belied stereotyped images of southern whites such as those found in the wildly popular 1915 film, Birth of the Nation. Like the northern philanthropists, they genuinely believed in black opportunity but stopped short of advocating black autonomy.

The GEB formalized their cooperation with white southerners by creating the position of State Agent for Negro Schools. Jackson Davis, a Virginia school superintendent and descendant of that state's aristocracy, became the first such agent in 1910, when he suggested to the GEB that the black schools in his district needed closer supervision. Using Davis's work as a model, the GEB began appointing other white men to supervise black education in the southern states. By 1919 all of the former Confederate states had a white male Negro School Agent. That situation remained unchanged throughout the period of de jure Jim Crow. Though blacks held principalships at individual schools, the chief state administrators were always whites.

GEB officials did debate the merits of allowing blacks to hold administrative positions, but they always concluded that blacks lacked the power and influence to survive the cutthroat world of southern politics. In 1915, for example, Jackson Davis traveled to West Virginia where some local educators had considered hiring a black man as a supervisory agent. Davis judged that such a move would "tend to lessen the dignity of the position in the eyes of the southern white people and would make more difficult our cooperation in southern states which have not yet shown a desire for the work." At a conference of the State Agents in 1930, Edwin Embree of the Rosenwald Fund stated his opinion that "white leadership was more necessary at this time than Negro leadership."
He judged white leadership to be so crucial in the South "on account of money, initiative, and influence."\(^{10}\)

Though southern politics may have limited the possibilities for black leadership, the GEB men did not bemoan white-dominated school administrative systems as a necessary evil. They viewed the State Agents not as paternalistic standard-bearers of the Old South, but as martyrs for an unpopular cause. The GEB trustees stated in their annual report in 1938 that the state agents had demonstrated "an infinite capacity for good will, courage." The report added: "Only the men who pioneered the work twenty-five years ago realize how difficult, how almost unprecedented, it was for a southern white man to take on as his major interest the care of the ignored Negro schools . . . They have served as key men, as 'interlocutors between two groups' in the delicate business of mediating that superlatively difficult problem: race relations."\(^{11}\) Indeed, for whatever reason, workers in the field of black education increasingly noted greater tolerance for the needs of black schools. James Dillard noted in 1930 that black education had once been regarded by white school officials in the South as "a missionary effort," but now it was viewed by these same men as "part of the day's work."\(^{12}\) Jeanes Fund president Arthur D. Wright similarly noted in 1932 "a new attitude throughout the South toward Negro education." He commented that twenty-five years he ago he had to make emotional appeals to southern superintendents in order for them to consider the needs of black education. Now he found the average superintendent to be "better trained and more professional" and more willing to consider black needs without such special pleading.\(^{13}\) Perhaps encouraged by such reports, the GEB continued throughout the thirties and forties to fund the State Agents "as the chief medium of its Negro education program," even as it reduced its overall appropriations to black education.\(^{14}\)

At the same time that the GEB seemed eager to support a group of home-grown white leaders in the field of black education, it remained reluctant to fund black organizations that were attempting to carve out spheres of autonomy for black teachers, parents, and students. I investigated the GEB's interactions with three such organizations: the American Teachers Association (ATA), the Association for the Study of Negro Life and History (ASNLH), and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). In each case, the GEB offered modest grants, but denied the level of support that would have recognized these organizations as fundamental players in the world of black educational politics.

The American Teachers Association (formerly the National Association of Teachers in Colored Schools) had served as a national forum and support network for black teachers since 1904. The membership of the group was overwhelmingly black, but included a small number of white educators who worked in the field of education. Most white educators belonged to the National Education Association (NEA), which had never officially excluded black members, but nonetheless maintained a largely all-white membership. Since the mid-1920s, ATA members had discussed the merits of greater cooperation with the NEA, but the ATA remained a separate organization for another forty years. In fact, the late twenties and thirties were years of considerable expansion and activity within the ATA. The association increased its membership during those
years and pushed a politically ambitious agenda that included the removal of race-based teacher salary inequalities and increases in federal funding for black schools. The ATA maintained its autonomy during these pre-Civil Rights years partly to promote the interests of African Americans, but also out of allegiance to its affiliated state organizations from the Jim Crow South, where both law and custom dictated that black and white educators maintain separate professional associations. It was not until 1966, with the Civil Rights Movement and desegregation in full swing, that the ATA officially merged with the NEA.15

During the late twenties and thirties, when the ATA hoped to expand its membership and outreach programs, the GEB offered the group only modest support. In 1928, the GEB granted the ATA one thousand dollars for debt liquidation, with the stipulation that the group "give reasonable assurance that provision has been made for the current expenses of the Association for the coming year." But the GEB rejected two subsequent requests for aid, one in 1931 to fund a secretary, and one in 1949 for in-service school guidance workshops.16 Unfortunately, the GEB's records do not indicate the reasons for those rejections. Yet its funding of the NEA during those same years provides a striking contrast to its lukewarm support of the ATA. The GEB viewed the NEA in 1937 as "one of the most potent forces in the molding of public education policies in this country." That year it gave the association a $35,000 grant for a task force on secondary education. Only two years earlier it had awarded the NEA $250,000 for a five-year Educational Policies Commission designed to "overcome the natural inflexibility of established educational institutions."17 Ironically, the GEB applauded the efforts of the NEA to challenge entrenched educational bureaucracies, but it never rallied behind the ATA, which would have helped African Americans overturn established patterns of racial privilege.

A similar story unfolds from the records of the GEB's interactions with the Association for the Study of Negro Life and History, an association founded by the black historian Carter G. Woodson in 1915. Woodson, often referred to as the "father of Negro history," wished to promote the scholarly study and publication of black history, as well as its wide dissemination in the public schools.18 Even in his correspondence with powerful white philanthropists, Woodson rarely diluted his espousal of black autonomy. He made it clear that the ASNLH was to sponsor the writing of black history by blacks themselves, not white scholars. As he explained once in a letter to John D. Rockefeller, Jr., blacks held the "advantage of being able to think black." After all, he added, "It would be very unwise to depend upon the Irish to write the history of the Scotchman or the Scotchman to chronicle the deeds of the Irish."19 To be sure, Woodson initially included on his executive council many important representatives from the major white philanthropies - men such as Thomas Jesse Jones, A. G. Phelps Stokes, James Hardy Dillard, George Foster Peabody, and Julius Rosenwald. Yet Woodson sought primarily the financial cooperation of these men, not their ideological approval, and by the early twenties he was making efforts to include more blacks in the Association's leadership.20 It is revealing that at the annual meeting of the ASNLH in 1920, Howard University professor Kelly Miller spoke on the "Limits of Philanthropy in Negro Education." Miller argued that goal
of the foundations should be "equipping the Negro to take over his own school systems."²¹

Woodson's spirited independence eventually clashed with the goals of his sponsors. Since 1922 the ASNLH had received grants from the Laura Spelman Rockefeller Memorial (LSRM), an affiliate of the larger Rockefeller Foundation.²² But Rockefeller officials began to withdraw their support in the early thirties when Woodson began moving away from the scholarly production of black history to a much larger popular movement that would use churches and public schools as conduits for the ASNLH's messages of race pride. Woodson was coming to believe that the most promising ground for innovation in black education was at the primary and secondary level. It was the average schoolteacher, rather than black scholars, in whom he began investing his ambitions. Woodson, for example, tirelessly promoted Negro History Week, a commemoration that Woodson started in 1916 and steadily expanded throughout the twenties and thirties. In 1932 he teamed up with the ATA to propose new social studies curricula that included the study of black history.²³ In the late thirties, he proposed hiring a black field worker who would travel to black schools in the South and demonstrate ways to incorporate ASNLH materials.²⁴ And in 1937 he launched the publication of the Negro History Bulletin, a monthly magazine that contained stories and pictures of famous African Americans, textbook recommendations, and news about upcoming ASNLH activities.²⁵

When the LSRM began reducing its appropriations to the ASNLH in the early thirties, Woodson turned to the GEB. Woodson received the same answer at every turn: Rockefeller philanthropy would help fund the scholarly publication of black history, but not "work of a general promotional character."²⁶ Perhaps GEB officials feared that Woodson's foot soldiers would intrude too deeply into the supervision of southern schools - that territory had been reserved for the State Agents. Throughout the thirties and early forties Rockefeller officials repeatedly tried to convince Woodson to scale back his activities and base the ASNLH at one of the black colleges. Woodson, who believed that the black colleges had surrendered too much power to white philanthropies, refused to budge. In 1934 he fired off a letter to Jackson Davis: "The Association has sounded the only new note in Negro education during the last quarter of a century. We are far ahead of the Negro colleges and universities. We cannot be made to trail them."²⁷ Despite his persistence, Woodson failed to regain the support of Rockefeller philanthropy.

Finally, perhaps the most revealing example of the GEB's discomfort with black autonomy was its refusal to fund the NAACP's legal battle against segregated schools. From 1928 to 1939, John D. Rockefeller, Jr. gave the NAACP annual contributions, which ranged from $500 to $1000. John D. Rockefeller, 3rd also made smaller annual contributions ($200-$250) in the mid-thirties and early forties. But when the NAACP approached the GEB about the possibility of a more substantial gift to help its legal initiatives, Jackson Davis stepped in and drew the line. Davis had long expressed his reservations towards the NAACP. He admitted in 1928 that he could "never feel any enthusiasm" for the association whose "militant, bristling attitude toward white people" would only "strengthen prejudice." Davis recognized that black militancy was the "the natural human counterpart to the demagoguery of the white South."²⁸ But when the
NAACP resorted to the federal courts to force action upon local southern governments, Davis balked. When NAACP executive director Walter White sought money from the GEB in 1937 for its legal expenses, Davis advised GEB president Raymond Fosdick to reject the request. He felt that the NAACP had "done more harm than good." The South, he insisted, "can be persuaded but they cannot be forced." 29

Davis was not alone among the GEB men. Fosdick echoed Davis in 1939 when S. R. Redmond, president of the St. Louis branch of the NAACP, asked if the GEB could exert pressure on Washington University to open its graduate programs to blacks. Fosdick informed Redmond that "the Rockefeller Foundation and the General Education Board have consistently refused to bring any sort of pressure upon the educational institutions to determine their policies and we believe that this is a wise practice to which we should continue to adhere." 30 Likewise, when Walter White again requested in 1948 that the GEB "assist the NAACP in its campaign against educational discrimination and segregation," Albert K. Mann replied that White's proposal "falls outside the program of the [GEB]," which supported the development of the South through "a selected group of institutions for Negroes and for whites." The GEB, in other words, channeled its aid to existing southern institutions rather than outside pressure groups.

On the surface, the GEB's position toward the NAACP was consistent with its advocacy of local control, a policy that had dictated the development of the State Agents as homegrown leaders in black education. But, despite its northern origins, the NAACP had, by the mid-thirties, cultivated a significant southern grassroots network. The New York-based NAACP, like the Washington D. C.-based ATA and ASNLH, promoted grassroots organization among African Americans living in the trenches of the Jim Crow South. These organizations promised some measure of "local control" for blacks within a world of white hegemony.

If historians assess the GEB's liberalism on the basis of its appropriations during the last half of legalized Jim Crow in the South, it is clear that it wished to foster a more enlightened, if paternalistic, white leadership in the South. Yet it also remained reluctant to fund politically oriented black organizations that wished to make direct challenges to the power structure in the region. What remains for historians to investigate is the extent to which the GEB indirectly funded black empowerment through its support of black colleges and universities in the South, where many black Civil Rights leaders got their training. 31 Moreover, it seems that we need more in-depth study of the GEB-funded State Agents of Negro Schools. These men not only played a major role in the history of the GEB, but also in the history of the South. Before their stories are known, we will not be able to determine whether they, in fact, served as crucial interracial diplomats, or whether they simply forestalled the kind of radical change needed to challenge race-based educational inequalities in the South.
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