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Abstract
When examining obscene expressions and taboos in the Latin language, one cannot rely on the modern concepts of profanities or taboos at all, since the limits of obscenity were drawn much further than in our times, as it is sufficiently demonstrated by certain elements of the Roman culture. It is enough to mention the custom of hanging a phallic charm on babies’ necks, or the fascini used to ward the evil away from conquering generals. In my paper, I deal with the appearance of themes and expressions that can be considered as obscene in the poems of Martial and Juvenal. In doing this, I have examined the graffiti-corpus found in the cities destroyed by the eruption of Mount Vesuvius in 79 AD, being the most important contemporary source of these expressions. The analysis of these literary texts can bring us closer to revealing where the aforementioned limits were drawn in the Latin language of the 1st and 2nd century AD.
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Obscene expressions in Greek and Latin literature have caused many problems for translators and editors, which has lead to different solutions in order to avoid the use of the so-called “offensive language”.¹ For instance, the renowned Hungarian translator, Gábor Devecseri left certain poems out from his translation of Catullus;² while in other poems, he used euphemisms instead of expressions that seemed unacceptable. For example, the predicate of *glubit magnanimos Remi nepotes* (58, 5) is *velőt fej*, i.e. “she milks bone marrow” in Hungarian, while the translation of *pedicabo ego vos ac irrumabo* (16, 1) is *majd alul-fölül érzitek*, i.e. “you will feel it down there and up there” in his edition. Of course, translators should not be blamed for this method, since they had to adjust to the circumstances of their age; however, this kind of “refinement”, so to speak, of the language of ancient literature, changing a literary work’s characteristics, can be observed today as well.

Besides the omission and alteration of these expressions in translation, there is another strategy to avoid the use of obscene words: that is the translation of Greek and Latin words or poems into the other language. Some editions, for example certain volumes of the Loeb Classical Library, translate the Greek poems with obscene content into Latin or the Latin poems into Italian instead of English,³ and a similar method is applied by the Oxford Latin Dictionary and the Greek-English Lexicon of Liddell, Scott and Jones. In the latter case, this practice can cause problems for the users of the dictionaries, since sometimes they explain a Greek and a Latin word with each other; for example, in the case of the Latin *landica* and the Greek *escharadin* – both meaning “clitoris”.

Turning back to the topic of literary texts translated with the use of euphemisms or with the technique of omitting certain parts of the texts: whatever reason motivates these changes, they create a bigger distance between the original text and its translation than inevitable. An obscene expression⁴ is an organic part of a text, and therefore its omission takes something away from it. For instance, if someone translates both of Martial’s and Juvenal’s poems in this “euphemistic way”, the translated texts will not show the important differences between the two poets regarding their word usage while describing obscene topics that will be demonstrated later in my paper. And moreover, when dealing with ancient texts written in extinct languages, we cannot rely on the modern concepts of profanities or taboos at all, since the limits of obscenity were not equal to those of our time. This is sufficiently demonstrated by certain elements of the Roman

---

¹ I am grateful to the reviewers for their comments on the first draft of this paper, especially for the remark on the possible motivations of the Pompeian inscriptions; this question is really intriguing, however, I am convinced that it deserves separate examination, since the word-usage of these inscriptions can be analysed without dealing with this issue.

² Devecseri (1958). He omits the poems 27, 56, 59, 80 and 97 from this edition, while in his first edition, he left out certain lines containing profane content.

³ For example, Ker (1919).

⁴ Concerning the concept of “obscenity”, I followed the definition of Henderson (1991: p. 2): “By ‘obscenity’ we mean verbal reference to areas of human activity or parts of the human body that are protected by certain taboos agreed upon by prevailing social custom and subject to emotional aversion or inhibition. These are in fact the sexual and excremental areas. In order to be obscene, such a reference must be made by an explicit expression that is itself subject to the same inhibitions as the thing it describes.”
culture: it is enough to mention the phallus-shaped *fascini* that were worn by babies around their necks or as a ring on their fingers, fulfilling a warding-off function – and of course, there are plenty of other examples of the depictions of male genitalia, sometimes with legs and wings. These amulets, lamps, reliefs, and mosaics can be regarded as extremely obscene from the modern viewpoint, which makes it clear for us how carefully should the concepts of profanities and taboos be dealt with.

Having said that, nothing compels us to doubt that the concept of “obscene expression” existed in the classical Roman age. The primary evidences of this are two texts of Cicero who explains the postpositional use of the preposition *cum* in the expression *nobiscum* (“with us”) in his *Orator*, stating that if we say *cum nobis*, “the letters would run together in a rather obscene way” – since it is easy to mistake it for *cunno bis*.\(^5\) In the 22\(^{nd}\) epistle of Book 9 of his *Epistulae Familiares*, he deals with the same topic, highlighting some expressions that must be avoided since they can be understood as rude words. For example, he warns us never to use the diminutive of the word *pavimentum* (“floor”) because it would contain the word *mentula*, being the obscene word for the male genitalia.\(^6\)

Martial also reflects on the use of rude words but in the opposite way: he does not reject them but on the contrary, he actually incorporates their use in his poetic programme. It can be observed in *Epigram 69* of his Book 3, where he renders the epigrams of Cosconius with *nulla mentula* as suitable only for children and virgins, while his own poetry using these words freely is convenient for adult and older readers.\(^7\) In *Epigram 15* of his Book 11, he writes that “our book should not talk by circumscription about the thing from which we originate and the begetter of everyone that was named *mentula* by the sacred Numa”.\(^8\) With these words, he does not simply give an *ars poetica* statement on his word usage, but also invokes an honourable figure of the mythical-historical past, as an authority, in order to “legitimize” his use of obscene expressions. The latter argument, however, is not necessarily valid, since the obscene status of a word can change with time.\(^9\) If we look at the possible etymologies of the word *mentula* that can be derived from *mens* (“mind”),\(^10\) from *menta* (“mint”), from a hypothetical verb *mentare*, or explained as a cognate of *eminere* (“to stand out, to project”), *mentum* (“chin”) and *mons*

---

5 Cic. *Or.* 154: ... *cum autem nobis non dicitur, sed nobiscum? Quia si ita dicercetur, obscenaus concurrerent litterae...


7 Mart. 3, 69: *omnia quod scribis castis epigrammata verbis / inque tuis nulla est mentula carminibus, / admiror, laudo; nihil est te sanctius uno: / at mea luxuria pagina nulla vacat. / haec igitur nequam iuvenes faclesque puellae, / haec senior, sed quem torquet amica, legat. / at tua, Cosconi, venerandaque sanctaque verba / a pueris debent virginibusque legi.

8 Mart. 11, 15, 8–10: *nee per circuitus loquatur illam, / ex qua nascimentum, omnium parentem, / quam sanctus Numa mentulam vocabat.*

9 This can be observed in modern languages as well, to which I present an example from my native language: according to the etymological dictionary of Zaicz (2006: p. 59), the verb “baszik”, the most common Hungarian profane word for the sexual intercourse that is undoubtedly obscene nowadays, originally served as a euphemistic substitute for a contemporary obscene word after having been borrowed from a Turkish language.

we can conclude that it is plausible that the word was not always an obscene one—for example, if the derivation from mens is the correct one, then we can suppose that an earlier non-obscene, humorous word with the meaning of “little mind” was transferred to the field of the obscenities, losing its humorous component.

The possible change of the obscene status of certain words is only one of the problems making the examination of Latin profanities and their usage more complicated. In this paper, I do not aim to examine the question in its complexity, but to present a case study on the limits of obscenity and taboo in the Roman literature, focusing on two authors of the Silver Age: Martial and Juvenal. Besides due to including a variety of sexuality-related topics, I chose these two poets, being almost contemporaries, writing in different genres, because their word usage can be compared with an almost contemporary non-literary text corpus. This is the graffiti-corpus preserved on the walls of the buildings of Pompeii and Herculaneum, giving us some insight into the vulgar language of the time a few decades before the two poets’ writing period. The corpus that was published in the fourth volume of Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum provides us information on the currency, the usage, the obscene status, and the exact meaning of certain words.

Now I will briefly present this graffiti-corpus, focusing on those aspects that are important for my paper. The thousands of graffiti preserved in the destroyed cities show a wide thematic variety. Among others, we can find promotions of gladiatorial fights, inscriptions of victories and lines referring to the escape of gladiators, greetings, remembrances, love messages, poems of great Roman poets, and their imitations, as well as shape poetry. Besides these, there are also a lot of advertisements in the corpus, of course; for example, the one that was found on the outer wall of a shop: “A bronze pot has been taken from this shop. Whoever brings it back will receive 65 sesterces. If he produces the thief, from whom we may take our property back, will receive 84 sesterces”.12

There are also plenty of sexual advertisements in the corpus, mentioning the type of service, its price and the name of the prostitute, not only in brothels but also in the vicinity of inns and other public places. The language of these graffiti is quite moderate sometimes; for example, the following inscription was found next to the basilica of Pompeii: “I am yours for two coins”.13 However, others are much more plain-spoken; for example, the advertisements of Glyco and Euplia offering oral sex for two and five coins.14 Two other pieces of graffiti also mention the name of the latter prostitute who, according to one of them, was “[t]here” with two thousand good-looking men, while the

11 The other etymologies (besides the possible derivation from mens) are summarized by Messing (1956: pp. 247–249), who dismisses menta and eminere, as well as the supposed relation with the Sanskrit word mánthati. However, his explanation of mentula as a joke-word from *mentare, which was not current before Catullus, seems unconvincing to me.
12 CIL IV 64: urna aenia pereit de taberna seiquis rettulerit dabuntur H–S LXV sei furem dabit unde [rem] servare posimus H–S JX C III; the text is quoted according to Wallace (2005: p. 31).
13 CIL IV 5372: sum tua ae(ris) a(ssibus) II; the text is quoted according to Weeber (1996: p. 67).
14 CIL IV 3999: Glyco cunnum lingit a(ssibus) II; 5048: Euplia f(ellat) a(ssibus) V; the texts are quoted according to Weeber (1996: p. 67) and DiBiasie (2015: p. 191).
other one refers explicitly to the fact that her genitalia are overused.\textsuperscript{15} The ancient walls also preserved positive and negative ratings of prostitutes; for instance, the performance of Myrtis is praised, but the oral sex given by Sabina is criticized.\textsuperscript{16} A peculiar “rating”, so to say, was written on a wall in the form of a distich, commemorating a nice and popular but, according to the inscriptor, “muddy” girl.\textsuperscript{17}

Among the sexuality-related graffiti of the destroyed cities, one can find a lot of similar inscriptions remembering a sexual intercourse, which are much closer in style to the modern graffiti than the aforementioned advertisements. These inscriptions often contain the verb \textit{futuo} that occurs rarely in literary texts, appearing almost only in the language of epigram, as will be demonstrated later. Just to mention a few examples: one graffito states that Ampliatus Afer got laid in that place together with his friends; another inscriptor had sex twice at the location of his inscription; a third one was with the barmaid at an inn, whereas Messius had no luck.\textsuperscript{18} And moreover, a similar inscription alludes to the Caesarean \textit{veni, vidi, vici}, stating that \textit{hic ego cum veni, futui, deinde redei domi}.\textsuperscript{19} Besides the use of this verb, the graffiti-corpus includes expressions denoting sexuality-related and digestive body functions, homosexual intercourse,\textsuperscript{20} and according to Varone’s interpretation, one inscription even refers to an act of striptease.\textsuperscript{21} This line could be continued with the occurrences of different parts of the genitalia and several bodily functions.

As will be demonstrated later, most of the aforementioned obscene words appearing in the graffiti-corpus of the destroyed cities occur in the epigrams of Martial as well. The usage of obscene words of his contemporary fellow poet, Juvenal, however, is quite the opposite: although never dealing explicitly with the question of rude words, Juvenal follows similar principles as Cicero when avoiding even the use of any basic obscenities like \textit{mentula}, \textit{cunnus}, \textit{futuo}, etc. He does that, in spite of the fact that various sexual activities appear in his \textit{Satires} to illustrate the crimes and faults of the contemporary Rome, and in particular promiscuity and adultery. This is, above all, true for \textit{Satires 2} and \textit{9}, dealing with homosexuality and effemination, and for \textit{Satire 6}, presenting intercourse with animals, masturbation and a women’s orgy among others. It is also worth noting that the generic tradition of the satire would allow him to use some expressions that can be
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regarded as obscene, since in the poems of a prominent satiric predecessor, Horace, the verbs *futuo* and *caco* as well as the noun *cunnus* do appear.  

Instead of obscene expressions, Juvenal uses euphemisms, metaphors and circumscriptions. 23 An example of the latter can be observed in a line of *Satire 1*, where the infinitive *caccare* is substituted by *non tantum meiere* (1, 131). In his sexuality-related passages, Juvenal’s metaphors and euphemisms are mostly moderate. The verb *futuo*, the basic obscenity for sexual intercourse, is substituted by *inclino* (“to bend”), *resupino* (“to turn on back”) and *palpito* (“to tremble”) among others, and the verb *admitto* that is typically used to describe the breeding of animals in the meaning of “sending to” also appears in a similar context. 24 The male genitalia is denoted by words *inguen* (“groin”), *nervus* (“nerve”, “sinew”, “tendon”) and *cicer* (“chickpea”) among others. 25 But, no matter how cautious his word usage is, Juvenal also takes the liberty of using some bolder substitutions; for example, in *Satires 1* and *6* instead of *cunnus*, he uses *vesica*, a medical term for the bladder; 26 while in *Satire 9* the verb *fodio* (“to dig”) appears simultaneously in its literal meaning and metaphorically referring to the anal intercourse: “That slave is less miserable who digs the field than who digs his lord”. 27

As the aforementioned examples sufficiently demonstrate, no act or body part is taboo for Juvenal, but he does not use any basic obscenities. However, the latter statement still needs further proving, since there are some expressions in the *Satires* that can possibly be regarded as obscene ones: these are the verbs *criso*, *cevo* and *lambo*, the nouns *penis* and *podex*, and the adjective *verpus*. 28 The latter one is a derivative of *verpa* that is never referred to as an obscene word, but on the base of its occurrences Adams classifies it as “an emotive and highly offensive word”. 29 The adjective *verpus* with the meaning of “circumcised” seems to be an offensive reference to Jewish people, but nothing suggests handling the word as an obscene one. The noun *podex* has very limited occurrences, and

22 Hor. S. 1, 2, 127: dum futuo; 1, 8, 38: in me veniat mictum atque cacatum; 1, 3, 107–108: nam fuit ante Helenam cunnos taeterrima bellis / causa.

23 These characteristics of Juvenal’s style gave place to some misunderstandings and over-interpretations, as in the case of the commentary of Nadeau (2011: pp. 181–182) on *Satire 6*, stating that in lines 309 and 310, the urinating on the statue of Pudicitia (*micturiunt hic / effigiemque deae longis siphonibus implent*) refers to female ejaculation, without any further explanation. His interpretation is criticised by Watson (2012).


25 E.g. Juv. 1, 41: partes quisque suas ad mensuram inguinis heres; 9, 34: nil faciet longi mensura incognita nervi; 6, 373b: foliclis pudet cicerisque relieti.

26 Juv. 1, 38–39: … in caelum quos evehit optima summi / nunc via processus, vetulae vesica beatae; 6, 64: … Tuccia vesicae non imperat…

27 Juv. 9, 45–46: … servus evit minus ille miser qui foderit agrum / quam dominum.


therefore it is hard to classify it; anyway, it is used by medical writers of Late Antiquity as an anatomical term.\(^{30}\) The noun *penis* can be offensive in certain contexts (cf. Cic. *Fam.* 9, 22, 2), but it is clearly not as obscene as *mentula*, since Cicero cites it openly, while *mentula* is mentioned only by circumscription. Besides *Satire 6*, where it seems to be a pure anatomical term, the word also occurs in *Satire 9: agere intra viscera penem* (9, 43). This expression is quite gross, but since it stands for the obscene word *pedicare*, we can classify these words as an “anatomical circumscription”. On the grounds of its occurrences in literary texts, we can state with confidence that the verb *lambo* is not obscene, since it gets sexual connotation only by the context, in contrast to the verb *lingo*, the other verb that can denote the act of cunnilingus, which has strong sexual connotation.\(^{31}\) Concerning the verbs *criso* and *ceveo*, we can also rely on their appearances in the texts of certain authors: *criso* is used even by Donatus, while in the case of *ceveo*, the occurrences in Persius and Juvenal are the strongest evidences that this verb is not obscene, since otherwise it would be the only obscene word in the texts of both of the two authors.\(^{32}\)

Thus, we can rightly say that Juvenal always substitutes obscene words with circumscriptions, metaphors, euphemisms, or anatomical terms. Turning to the other examined text-corpus, Martial’s epigrams, the word *mentula*, which can be regarded as clearly obscene on the base of Cicero’s aforementioned letter and a passage in the *Priapeia* collection, appears most frequently in Martial’s texts among the surviving Roman literature; and the same can be said about the word *cunnus*, the basic obscenity for the female genitalia – and both of these expressions occur frequently in the graffiti-corpora of the destroyed cities as well. Having said that, Martial sometimes also refers to these body parts metaphorically; for example, naming the *mentula* as *columna* (6, 49, 3) or *inguinis arma* (6, 73, 6), or the *cunnus* as *pudicitia* (10, 63, 8 – next to the word *mentula*!), but it is far more typical of him to use expressions that can be regarded as obscene without doubt on the basis of other literary and epigraphical evidence.

Just as in the case of the nouns *mentula* and *cunnus*, the verb *futuo* occurs in Martial’s epigrams much more frequently than in any other text of the surviving Roman literature: the verb excluding its derivatives appears twice in Catullus, once in Horace, twice in the *Priapeia* collection, and three times in an epigram attributed to Octavian, while Martial uses it 49 times. It is a common verb in the graffiti-corpora as well, appearing on more than 60 inscriptions – according to Adams, its frequency is due to the fact that this verb was a technical term, so to speak, used for the unemotional sexual relations between prostitutes and clients.\(^{33}\)

Regarding other obscene expressions in Martial’s writings, the nouns *culus*, *fellator*, *fututor* and *fututrix*, as well as the verbs *pedico*, *irrumo*, *fello* and *lingo* all occur multiple times in the epigrams, just like in the graffiti-corpora from Pompeii and Herculaneum – while Juvenal never uses any of them. But there is one word that is never used even by


\(^{32}\) A possible explanation is that the verbs denoting sexual movements of the passive partner (criso, ceveo) are not as offensive as the words describing an active role (futuo, pedico), cf. Adams (1982: p. 137).

\(^{33}\) Adams (1982: pp. 120–121).
Martial. This is the noun *landica* denoting the clitoris appearing only once in the whole surviving Roman literature, in the 78th poem of the *Priapeia* collection. The word occurs only twice in inscriptions, one of which is a Pompeian graffito using its derivative adjective *landicosa*.34 The much less frequent occurrence of the word compared to that of the others cannot refute that it was well-known and widespread, since words like *culus* and *irrumo* appear on the inscriptions of the destroyed cities only five and six times respectively (compared to the dozens of occurrences of other obscenities), but hardly can it be questioned whether they were well-known at the time.

While never using the word *landica*, three different classical Latin authors refer to the clitoris unambiguously. In one of his epigrams, Martial mentions a woman called Saufeia who may be ashamed of the size of her clitoris,35 while in another poem he refers to the idea that this part of the female genitalia can be used as a penis in a sexual relation between two women.36 The idea of the connection between the penis and the clitoris also appears in a poem of Phaedrus, who explains the existence of the *tribades* as follows: *Prometheus ... errore ebrio ... masculina membra applicuit feminis* (4, 16), i.e. he refers to an unusually large clitoris as a “male part” attached to a female body by mistake. Juvenal uses the word *crista* (“crest”) instead of *landica*37 that is absolutely not unusual of him, since he replaces obscene words with euphemisms and metaphors. However, the fact that Martial, who speaks openly about practically every other parts, functions and activities of the human body, also avoids using the word *landica* while referring to the organ, means that this is not only a “simple” obscene word, but as I see it, the word and the clitoris itself can be considered as a taboo. Apart from the medical literature,38 these five passages and two inscriptions are the only mentions of or references to the *landica*, which also strengthens its taboo status. But the word itself must have been well-known in my opinion, which is certified by Cicero’s aforementioned epistle on the avoidance of expressions that can be understood as obscene. He mentions a sentence in which the word *landica* could be heard: *hanc culpam maiorem an illam dicam?* If we look at the other obscene expressions being referred to in this section of his letter, which are *futuo, penis, cunnus*, the Greek verb *βινέω*, and *mentula*, we can see that all of them are words that can be rightly considered as well-known obscenities. I cannot see why he would include a quite unknown word with an unclear meaning in this list.

According to my hypothesis, we can explain the taboo status of the clitoris with the aforementioned texts of Martial and Phaedrus. The idea that this part of the female body can be used for penetration, and the concept that clitoris is (or at least, can be)

---

34 CIL IV 10004: Eupl[i]a laxa landicosa; CIL XI 6721: peto landicam Fulviae.
35 Mart. 3, 72, 6: ... aut aliquid cunni prominet ore tui.
37 Juv. 6, 422: callidus et cristae digitos impressit aliptes.
38 The word’s appearance in the medical literature can be sufficiently explained by the fact that the *landica* was the *verbum proprium* to denote the clitoris, and it did not have a non-obscene counterpart like the *penis* and the *mentula*.
a male part renders the *landica* as incongruous with the standard male-female dichotomy, as well as with the, so to speak, traditional sexual roles of the two genders. The assumption of the ancient concept of the connection between the penis and the clitoris is also strengthened by the possible etymology of the word *landica* that is explained by Fay as the diminutive of *glans*. Thus, as opposed to “simple” obscenities like *mentula*, *cun-nus* etc., the “little *glans*” on the female body that can be used for penetration in certain cases, became a taboo.

This hypothesis and similar questions need further investigation, since the analysis of expressions that can be regarded as obscene ones will have further results concerning the so-called “rude words” in classical Latin language, as well as in connection with the contemporary thinking of sexuality. Moreover, it can also help translators to find the most proper modern language word as the counterpart of a sexuality-related Latin or Greek expression.

**Bibliography**


---


---

Dr. Gergő Gellérfi / gellerfigergo@gmail.com
Department of Classical Philology and Neo-Latin Studies
University of Szeged, Faculty of Arts
Egyetem u. 2, 6722 Szeged, Hungary
As the name suggests, anything martial arts related. Any of several arts of combat or self-defense, such as aikido, karate, judo, or tae kwon do, kendo, fencing, and so on and so forth. Genre List Viewed 394153 times. Sort By. Latest. Ghost Emperor Ch.72. Ghost Emperor. Chapter 72 2020-05-25. For making this remark against the translation, Bentley had to suffer a lot in the hands of Pope in the epic satire, Dunciad. After the publication of the Translation of Homer, Pope devoted his energies entirely to satirical works. The satires of Pope are modelled on the style of Horace and Juvenal, the classical writers. In his satires Pope attacked the personalities of his age. The supreme achievement in this direction, however, was the Dunciad written between 1725 and 1728. Read novel Unfathomable Patriarch written by kuropon8, rating: 4.1, category: Eastern Fantasy, tag: System, Cultivation, xuanhuan. //The story was moved here, put it in fan-fics by mistake, sorry for the inconvenience//It all started after I received that weird email...What? Click the boxes to select the body type?Want me to select a starting point?Let me put in the name and be done with this, it's getting late...I always was bad with Chinese names how about Zhang Dong Hehe...Wait why is everything going dark...Discord https://discord.gg/QZ5rpuCI created a Patreon page: https://